American Journal of Economics and Business Management

Vol. 7 Issue 10 | **pp.** 843-851 | **ISSN:** 2576-5973
Available online @ https://www.globalresearchnetwork.us/index.php/ajebm

Ethical Issues in Business: A Comparative Study of International Companies

Prof. Dr. Urvashiba N. Jhala [1], Aayushi B. Jhala[2]

[1] Head of the Dept. (Commerce), Shri V M Mehta Municipal Arts & Commerce College, Jamnagar - 361002, INDIA
[2] Research Assistant, Sunset High School, (Beaverton School District) 13840 NW Cornell Road, Portland, OR 97229

Abstract:
By comparing and contrasting a number of MNCs, this research study explores the ethical dilemmas that arise from doing business on a global scale. Companies like Nestlé, Walmart, and BP encounter different cultural, legislative, and operational settings when making ethical decisions. This research examines these circumstances and how they impact decision-making. This article examines ethical lapses and CSR projects via the lens of case studies, providing insight into how businesses could handle such challenges in today's interconnected world. In the end, this research highlights how crucial ethical frameworks are for encouraging long-term company sustainability. By comparing and contrasting the ways in which organisations in various nations handle ethical concerns, this research aims to delve into the ethical challenges encountered by multinational corporations. Corporate social responsibility (CSR), labour practices, environmental effect, and corporate governance are some of the important ethical concerns that this article explores. This study delves at the ways in which distinct cultural, legal, and economic frameworks impact the ethical behaviours of multinational companies (MNCs) from various countries using case studies. Global economic forces, cultural standards, and regional legal systems all have a role in how ethical dilemmas are handled, according to the research. As the pace of globalisation increases and MNCs enter new markets, ethical considerations in business have taken front stage in the corporate sphere. Companies doing business on a global scale have unique ethical dilemmas due to the wide variety of legal, cultural, and regulatory frameworks in which they operate. Examining how cultural diversity, legal frameworks, and corporate governance affect ethical behaviour, it offers a comparative examination of MNCs' norms and practices. Ethical decision-making is complicated, and the research uses case studies from organisations like Nestlé, Enron, and Volkswagen to show how unethical activities may have repercussions. The paper's study highlights the importance of ethical leadership and greater corporate governance in promoting ethical business activity on a global scale.

Keywords: Business Ethics, Corporate Governance, Labor Practices, Environmental Ethics, Corporate Social Responsibility, International Business.

Citation: Jhala , P. D. U. N. ., & Jhala, A. B. . (2024). Ethical Issues in Business: A Comparative Study of International Companies . American Journal of Economics and Business Management, 7(10), 819–826. Retrieved from https://globalresearchnetwork.us/index.php/ajebm/article/view/2985

Received: 21 Aug 2024
Revised: 29 Aug 2024
Accepted: 20 Sep 2024
Published: 19 Oct 2024

Introduction

An area of applied ethics known as "business ethics" deals with questions of right and wrong that crop up in the course of doing business. The difficulties of operating across diverse legal, cultural, and economic contexts amplify these issues for multinational enterprises. As companies come under more and more scrutiny on a worldwide scale, ethical issues including labour standards, environmental sustainability, social responsibility, and corporate governance have taken front stage. Ethical issues in business operations have become more important as the world of business has become more globalised. Companies with a global presence have unique ethical challenges due to the wide range of cultural, legal, and economic environments in which they operate.

Transparency, environmental sustainability, and labour standards are common challenges that companies face as they expand their worldwide presence. By comparing and contrasting the approaches taken by multinational corporations to ethical dilemmas and the effects these choices have on various stakeholder groups, this article hopes to provide light on the complex nature of business ethics.

Companies are expected to behave ethically, yet market factors, legal requirements, and regional cultural norms all have a role in shaping what is considered ethical behaviour. This article offers a comparative analysis of ethical concerns in multinational corporations, with an emphasis on the ways in which different firms deal with problems and apply ethical standards. Fundamental to business procedures are ethics, or the moral principles that govern human behaviour. Governments, regulatory agencies, customers, investors, and workers are all keeping a closer eye on companies as they pertain to their ethical behaviour. Consistently upholding ethical norms across multiple marketplaces has become more complicated due to the globalisation of business. In this study, we'll look at how multinational corporations in various cultural and legal contexts deal with ethical dilemmas. It offers case studies of corporations that have been involved in ethical crises and talks about how culture, regulatory norms, and corporate governance structures affect ethical behaviour.

Ethical issues in business are of growing concern due to increasing globalization and the interconnectedness of international markets. As companies expand their operations across borders, they encounter diverse legal systems, cultural norms, and ethical expectations. These differences can lead to ethical dilemmas, as what is considered acceptable in one region may be viewed as unethical in another. This paper seeks to explore and compare the ethical issues faced by international companies and analyze how these companies adapt their practices to address the complexities of doing business in various international environments.

This research focuses on key ethical concerns such as corporate social responsibility (CSR), environmental sustainability, human rights, labor practices, and anti-corruption measures. By comparing the approaches of international companies, this paper aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how ethical issues are managed across different regions and industries.

Theoretical Framework: Business Ethics in a Global Context

Ethics refers to the moral principles that guide individual and organizational behavior. Business ethics is the application of ethical principles within the corporate context, which often involves making decisions that balance profit motives with societal welfare. According to scholars such as Carroll (1991), businesses have a responsibility to various stakeholders, including shareholders, employees, customers, and the broader community. Ethical frameworks such as utilitarianism, deontology, and virtue ethics have been applied to guide corporate decision-making.

However, ethical standards are not universal. Different countries and regions have developed their own ethical guidelines based on cultural, religious, and legal frameworks. For example, Western ethical models often emphasize individual rights and freedoms, while Eastern models may prioritize community welfare and collective responsibility (Scholtens & Dam, 2007). In the context of business, these differences manifest in diverse approaches to labor rights, environmental sustainability, and corporate governance.

Ethical Theories in Business

To understand ethical issues in business, it is essential to consider various ethical theories that provide a framework for ethical decision-making. Utilitarianism, deontology, virtue ethics, and stakeholder theory are some of the major approaches to ethical analysis in business.

- **Utilitarianism** : Utilitarianism, championed by Jeremy Bentham and John Stuart Mill, emphasizes maximizing overall happiness or utility (Mill, 1863). In business, utilitarianism might manifest in decisions that benefit the majority, such as offering affordable products or creating jobs, even if certain ethical compromises are made in the process.
- **Deontology** : Immanuel Kant's deontological approach focuses on adhering to rules and duties (Kant, 1785). In the context of international business, this could mean companies consistently following legal regulations and ethical standards, regardless of the outcomes.
- **Virtue Ethics** : Virtue ethics, rooted in Aristotle's philosophy, emphasizes moral character over specific actions (Aristotle, 350 B.C.E.). For companies, this means fostering a culture of integrity, honesty, and ethical behavior among leaders and employees.
- **Stakeholder Theory** : Stakeholder theory, developed by R. Edward Freeman (1984), posits that businesses have ethical responsibilities not only to shareholders but to all stakeholders—employees, customers, suppliers, and the community. This approach encourages companies to consider the broader social impact of their decisions.

Ethical Issues in Business

- **Corporate Governance :** Corporate governance refers to the set of rules, practices, and processes by which a firm is directed and controlled. Ethical corporate governance ensures accountability, transparency, fairness, and responsibility to all stakeholders. However, the implementation of ethical governance can differ drastically across borders due to varying legal and cultural frameworks.

For example, in the United States, corporate governance is heavily influenced by legal mandates like the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX) of 2002, which was designed to protect investors from fraudulent accounting activities by corporations. The act requires firms to establish robust internal controls and enhances the accuracy of financial reporting. On the other hand, European countries emphasize stakeholder governance models, where the interests of not just shareholders but also employees, suppliers, and the environment are considered.

Comparative Case: Enron vs. Volkswagen: The Enron scandal in the United States (2001) and the Volkswagen emissions scandal (2015) in Germany highlight two instances of corporate governance failure. Enron's case was primarily due to accounting fraud, leading to one of the largest bankruptcies in U.S. history, whereas Volkswagen's scandal stemmed from manipulation of emissions tests to make their vehicles appear more environmentally friendly. These scandals underscore how different ethical failures can have widespread consequences globally, but they also reveal how the legal frameworks in different countries shape the corporate response.

- **Labor Practices :** Ethical labor practices involve fair treatment of workers, decent wages, safe working conditions, and the absence of exploitation, including child labor and forced labor. Labor practices in international business can be particularly controversial because multinational corporations often operate in countries with less stringent labor laws.

Comparative Case: Starbucks vs. Uniqlo : *Starbucks* has established itself as a leader in ethical sourcing and employee welfare. The company emphasizes fair trade principles, ensuring that a significant portion of its coffee is sourced from certified ethical suppliers. Starbucks also prioritizes employee benefits, offering competitive wages, health care, and opportunities for career advancement. This commitment not only enhances employee satisfaction but also aligns with the company's branding as a socially responsible entity.

Uniqlo, on the other hand, has faced criticism for its labor practices, particularly regarding its supply chain management. Despite being part of the fast fashion industry, which often prioritizes cost-cutting over ethical considerations, Uniqlo has made strides toward improving its labor standards. However, reports from labor rights organizations have highlighted ongoing issues, such as low wages, excessive working hours, and inadequate working conditions in factories, particularly in countries like China and Bangladesh. While Uniqlo has made commitments to transparency and sustainability, the enforcement of these standards remains a significant challenge.

The approach to employee welfare differs markedly between the two companies. Starbucks invests heavily in employee benefits, including healthcare and education programs, which contribute to higher retention rates and job satisfaction. Uniqlo's focus, primarily on cost-efficiency, has often resulted in compromised worker conditions, raising questions about its commitment to employee welfare.

Starbucks has proactively addressed criticisms related to labor practices by implementing initiatives such as the Starbucks Global Farmer Fund, which supports coffee farmers and promotes sustainable practices. Conversely, Uniqlo’s responses to criticism have been largely reactive, often unveiling new policies only after public pressure arises, indicating a gap between intention and implementation.

The comparative analysis of labor practices between Starbucks and Uniqlo illustrates the complexities of ethical issues in international business. While Starbucks demonstrates a proactive and comprehensive approach to ethical labor practices, Uniqlo's struggles with labor rights in its supply chain highlight the challenges faced by companies in the fast fashion industry. This case underscores the necessity for companies to not only establish ethical standards but also ensure their consistent application and transparency to maintain credibility and foster positive relationships with stakeholders. As global awareness of labor issues continues to grow, companies must prioritize ethical practices to thrive in an increasingly conscientious market.

- **Environmental Impact :** As concerns about climate change and sustainability rise, the environmental practices of corporations are under constant scrutiny. Ethical environmental practices involve minimizing a company's carbon footprint, reducing waste, promoting sustainable resource use, and being transparent about the environmental impact of business activities.

Comparative Case: BP vs. Unilever : The 2010 Deepwater Horizon oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico remains one of the largest environmental disasters caused by a corporation. BP, the oil company responsible for the spill, faced legal, financial, and reputational damage. The ethical failure here was not only in the company's insufficient safety measures but also in its response to the crisis. Conversely, Unilever, a global consumer goods company, has been lauded for its commitment to sustainability through its “Sustainable Living Plan,” which aims to decouple the company’s growth from its environmental footprint.

These contrasting cases illustrate how some companies prioritize profit over environmental responsibility, while others integrate sustainability into their core business model. Regulatory environments also play a crucial role in enforcing environmental standards, with companies in more regulated environments often adhering to stricter environmental practices.

- **Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) :** Corporate social responsibility (CSR) refers to a company's commitment to operate in a socially responsible manner by contributing to the well-being of society. CSR activities often include philanthropy, community development, and efforts to improve the quality of life for employees and customers.

Comparative Case: Microsoft vs. Alibaba : Microsoft has long been recognized for its CSR initiatives, especially in education and community development. Its "YouthSpark" program, aimed at empowering young people with technology and skills, is a prime example of its commitment to social causes. In contrast, Alibaba, China's e-commerce giant, has embraced CSR through its "Rural Taobao" initiative, which aims to lift rural communities out of poverty by providing them access to e-commerce platforms and creating employment opportunities.

While both companies have active CSR agendas, the motivations and focus areas differ based on regional needs and market dynamics. Western corporations like Microsoft often emphasize education and technology, while Asian companies like Alibaba focus more on economic development and poverty alleviation.

Ethical Challenges in International Business

Operating in international markets presents unique ethical challenges due to varying cultural norms, legal frameworks, and expectations. A practice considered ethical in one country may be viewed as unethical in another. For multinational companies (MNCs), maintaining consistent ethical standards across borders is a significant challenge.

- **Labor Practices** : Labor practices represent one of the most critical ethical issues faced by international companies. MNCs often outsource production to countries with lower labor costs, raising concerns about worker exploitation. For instance, reports of poor working conditions and child labor in factories supplying companies like Nike and Apple have drawn significant criticism (Locke, 2013). These issues highlight the importance of ethical sourcing and the need for robust labor standards across supply chains.
- **Environmental Sustainability** : Environmental sustainability has emerged as a vital ethical concern for businesses operating internationally. Companies are increasingly held accountable for their environmental impact, which can vary significantly across different regions. The case of BP's Deepwater Horizon oil spill in 2010 exemplifies how negligence in environmental management can lead to catastrophic consequences (Freudenburg & Gramling, 2018). The spill not only caused extensive ecological damage but also resulted in substantial financial losses and reputational harm for the company.
- **Transparency and Accountability** : Transparency in business practices is essential for building trust with stakeholders. However, many companies struggle with issues of accountability, particularly regarding financial reporting and corporate governance. The collapse of Enron in the early 2000s serves as a cautionary tale of the consequences of unethical financial practices. The scandal highlighted the need for transparency and ethical leadership in maintaining stakeholder trust (Healy & Palepu, 2003).
- **Cultural Relativism** : Cultural relativism refers to the idea that moral standards vary across cultures and that no single standard is universally applicable (Donaldson, 1996). For MNCs, this creates a dilemma: should they adapt to local cultural norms, even if those norms conflict with their home country's ethical standards, or should they impose their ethical standards globally? For instance, in countries where bribery is culturally accepted, MNCs may face pressure to engage in corrupt practices.
- **Regulatory Differences** : Different countries have distinct regulatory frameworks, which can lead to ethical dilemmas. A practice that is legally permissible in one country may be illegal or unethical in another. For example, labor practices, environmental regulations, and data privacy laws vary widely across regions.

Companies must navigate these differences while adhering to their ethical principles.

- **Corporate Governance** : Corporate governance structures differ across countries, influencing how companies address ethical issues. Strong corporate governance mechanisms, including independent boards of directors, transparent reporting, and accountability, are critical for fostering ethical behavior. Companies with weak governance structures are more prone to ethical lapses, as seen in the cases of Enron and Volkswagen.

Comparative Analysis of Ethical Issues in International Companies

- **Nestlé** : Nestlé, one of the world's largest food and beverage companies, has faced numerous ethical challenges related to its marketing practices, particularly regarding infant formula. The company has been criticized for promoting its products in developing countries, leading to allegations of exploiting vulnerable populations (Baker, 2017). In response to these criticisms, Nestlé has implemented policies aimed at improving its marketing practices and increasing transparency in its supply chain. The company's commitment to sustainability is evident in its efforts to source ingredients responsibly and reduce its environmental impact. Nestlé has faced significant ethical scrutiny for its marketing of infant formula in developing countries. Critics accused the company of promoting formula over breastfeeding, leading to health risks for infants in regions where clean water and sanitation are not readily available. The controversy highlights the ethical challenges of marketing practices in different cultural and socioeconomic contexts (Muller, 1974).
- **Walmart** : Walmart, a leading global retailer, has been scrutinized for its labor practices and treatment of employees. Allegations of wage theft, inadequate working conditions, and discrimination have been prominent in media coverage of the company (Troy & Kessler, 2014). In response, Walmart has taken steps to improve its labor practices, including raising minimum wages and enhancing employee benefits. The company's efforts to adopt a more ethical approach to business are indicative of the evolving expectations for corporate responsibility.
- **BP** : BP's involvement in the Deepwater Horizon oil spill underscores the ethical implications of environmental negligence. The disaster led to severe ecological damage and raised questions about the company's commitment to safety and environmental stewardship (Freudenburg & Gramling, 2018). Following the incident, BP implemented comprehensive changes to its safety protocols and sought to enhance its environmental sustainability initiatives. This case highlights the need for companies to prioritize ethical considerations in their operational strategies to prevent future crises.
- **Enron Scandal (United States)** : The Enron scandal of the early 2000s is one of the most notorious examples of corporate fraud. Enron engaged in widespread accounting fraud to inflate its financial statements, leading to its eventual bankruptcy. The company's unethical behavior was enabled by weak corporate governance, a lack of transparency, and a culture that prioritized profits over ethical considerations (McLean & Elkind, 2003).
- **Volkswagen Emissions Scandal (Germany)** : In 2015, Volkswagen was found to have installed software in its diesel vehicles to cheat emissions tests, leading to one of the largest corporate scandals in automotive history. The company's executives knowingly misled regulators and consumers about the environmental performance of its vehicles, violating both ethical and legal standards. Volkswagen's actions damaged its reputation and resulted in billions of dollars in fines (Hotten, 2015).

Ethical Leadership and Corporate Governance

Effective ethical leadership is crucial for guiding companies through ethical challenges. Ethical leaders set the tone for organizational behavior, promoting a culture of integrity and accountability. Corporate governance structures, such as boards of directors, internal audits, and whistleblower protections, play a vital role in preventing unethical behavior.

- **Ethical Leadership** : Leaders who prioritize ethics over profits are more likely to create an organizational culture that values ethical behavior. Ethical leaders model the behavior they expect from their employees and are transparent in their decision-making processes (Brown & Treviño, 2006).
- **Corporate Governance Mechanisms** : Strong corporate governance mechanisms are essential for ensuring that companies adhere to ethical standards. These mechanisms include independent boards of directors, transparent financial reporting, and effective internal controls. Companies with robust corporate governance structures are better equipped to prevent and address ethical issues (OECD, 2015).

Discussion

- **The Role of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) :** Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has emerged as a vital framework for addressing ethical issues in international business. Companies are increasingly recognizing that ethical practices can enhance their reputation, foster customer loyalty, and mitigate risks. Effective CSR initiatives not only address ethical dilemmas but also contribute to the overall sustainability of the business. For instance, companies like Unilever have integrated sustainability into their core business strategy, focusing on reducing environmental impact and improving social conditions in their supply chains (Unilever, 2020).
- **Cultural Considerations in Ethical Decision-Making :** Cultural differences play a significant role in shaping ethical perceptions and decision-making in international business. Companies operating in diverse cultural contexts must navigate varying norms and expectations regarding ethical behavior. Understanding local customs and ethical standards is crucial for MNCs to operate responsibly and build positive relationships with stakeholders. Companies that adopt a culturally sensitive approach to ethics are better equipped to manage ethical dilemmas and enhance their global reputation.
- **Cultural and Legal Influences on Ethical Practices :** One of the primary reasons for the differences in ethical practices across international companies is the influence of local culture and legal systems. Companies operating in countries with strict legal frameworks for business ethics, such as the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), are more likely to implement robust ethical standards. On the other hand, companies in regions with weaker regulatory oversight may have more freedom to operate with fewer ethical constraints. Cultural values also play a significant role in shaping business ethics. For instance, collectivist societies like Japan place a high value on harmony and corporate responsibility to the community, while individualistic cultures, such as the United States, emphasize personal achievement and shareholder value. These cultural differences are reflected in how companies prioritize and implement ethical practices.

Conclusion

For multinational corporations doing business in different cultural, legal, and corporate governance settings, the complexity and varied nature of business ethics concerns becomes even more apparent. The significance of taking a proactive approach to tackling the many ethical concerns encountered by multinational corporations (MNCs) is shown by the comparative examination of Nestlé, Walmart, and BP. Businesses may help solve ethical problems and promote sustainable practices by launching strong CSR programs and encouraging a climate of openness and responsibility. Maintaining a focus on ethics is critical for building trust and achieving success in the long run, even when the corporate world is being transformed by globalisation. While ethical dilemmas in the workplace affect businesses all around the world, different multinationals handle these problems in very different ways. Regional legal and cultural frameworks effect corporate governance, labour practices, environmental impact, and CSR, as shown by the comparative situations analysed in this study. As a result of competing economic incentives or lax regulatory regimes, certain businesses may have substantial obstacles in their pursuit of ethical standards. More responsible and sustainable corporate practices can only be fostered in the future if worldwide rules and stakeholder demands lead to a convergence of global ethical standards. While facing these obstacles, multinational organisations must remain committed to upholding uniform ethical standards. As seen in the Enron, Volkswagen, and Nestlé case studies, unethical actions have serious repercussions, and good corporate governance and ethical leadership are crucial for promoting ethical business practices. The need of a globally accepted code of conduct for corporations is growing as the borders between countries are becoming more porous due to globalisation.

References

1. Aristotle. (350 B.C.E.). *Nicomachean Ethics* (W. D. Ross, Trans.). Retrieved from https://www.perseus.tufts.edu
2. Baker, D. (2017). *The impact of marketing practices on child health: A study of Nestlé's infant formula*. Journal of Public Health Policy, 38(1), 45-60.
3. Brown, M. E., & Treviño, L. K. (2006). Ethical leadership: A review and future directions. *The Leadership Quarterly, 17*(6), 595–616. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2006.10.004
4. Donaldson, T. (1996). Values in tension: Ethics away from home. *Harvard Business Review, 74*(5), 48–62.
5. Donaldson, T., & Dunfee, T. W. (1994). Toward a unified conception of business ethics: Integrative social contracts theory. *Academy of Management Review, 19*(2), 252-284. https://doi.org/10.2307/258705
6. Freudenburg, W. R., & Gramling, R. (2018). *The politics of disaster: Environmental degradation and the Deepwater Horizon oil spill*. Environmental Politics, 27(4), 694-717.
7. Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Boston, MA: Pitman.
8. Hotten, R. (2015, December 10). Volkswagen: The scandal explained. *BBC News*. https://www.bbc.com/news/business-34324772
9. Heath, J. (2006). Business ethics without stakeholders. *Business Ethics Quarterly, 16*(4), 533-557. https://doi.org/10.5840/beq200616439
10. Healy, P. M., & Palepu, K. G. (2003). *The fall of Enron*. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 17(2), 3-26.
11. Kant, I. (1785). *Groundwork for the Metaphysics of Morals* (T. K. Abbott, Trans.). Retrieved from https://www.earlymoderntexts.com/assets/pdfs/kant1785.pdf
12. Kaptein, M. (2008). Developing a measure of unethical behavior in the workplace: A stakeholder perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics, 88*(3), 703-719. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-008-9975-6
13. Locke, R. (2013). *The Promise and Peril of Globalization: The Case of Nike*. Harvard Business School Publishing.
14. McLean, B., & Elkind, P. (2003). *The smartest guys in the room: The amazing rise and scandalous fall of Enron*. New York, NY: Portfolio.
15. Mill, J. S. (1863). *Utilitarianism*. Retrieved from https://www.gutenberg.org

16. Muller, M. (1974). The baby killer: A War on Want investigation into the promotion and sale of powdered baby milks in the Third World. *War on Want*.

17. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2015). *G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance*. Paris: OECD Publishing.

18. Treviño, L. K., & Nelson, K. A. (2016). *Managing business ethics: Straight talk about how to do it right* (6th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

19. Troy, L., & Kessler, J. (2014). *Walmart and the wage gap: A study of labor practices*. Journal of Business Ethics, 126(1), 107-120.

20. Unilever. (2020). *Unilever sustainable living plan: Progress report*. Unilever. Retrieved from https://www.unilever.com/sustainable-living/

21. Wood, G., & Rimmer, M. (2003). Codes of ethics: What are they really and what should they be? *International Journal of Value-Based Management, 16*(2), 181-195. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024075612081

22. World Economic Forum. (2020). *Global risks report 2020*. World Economic Forum. Retrieved from https://www.weforum.org/reports/global-risks-report-2020